SUMMARY OF DATA FOR PUERTO RICAN LAKES

BY

L.J. TILLY AND B.A. BUCHANAN

THE CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COLLEGE STATION, MAYAGUEZ, PUERTO RICO

OCTOBER, 1984



CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO - U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SUMMARY OF DATA FOR PUERTO RICAN LAKES

BY

L.J. TILLY AND B.A. BUCHAMAN

THE CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COLLEGE STATION, MAYAGUEZ, PUERTO RICO

OCTOBER, 1984

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to provide in one source most of the data available for Puerto Rican Lakes. These data are sparse and the bulk of them have arisen from unpublished government documents. Because the data were collected for different purposes by a variety of persons using a variety of methods, changes had to be made to render them comparable. In most cases the changes made were straightforward conversions to equivalent units. Where data were omitted or assumptions made to accomplish the conversions, these are noted in the explanations. The user is cautioned to examine carefully the assumptions and conventions adopted here before unqualifiedly accepting the data in the summary tables.

Table 1 indicates the methods used for most of the chemical and limnological parameters. The available data for 27 lakes are presented as "Physical Features", Table 2; "Chemical Features", Table 3; and "Limnological Features", Table 4.

ANNOTATED LIST OF DATA SOURCES

1. Candelas, G. R. 1956. Studies on the freshwater plankton of Puerto Rico. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Minnesota.

Sampling in this program was done once (or occasionally twice) at each lake with the exception of Lake Caonillas which was sampled monthly for one year. Other lakes sampled were Cidra, Dos Bocas, Matrullas. Guajataca, Guayabal, Patillas, and Cartagena. Averages for the water column temperatures were made on the basis of surface and bottom samples ("bottom" samples being from 3.05 m. in Dos Bocas and Guayabal to 12.19 m. in Patillas). Most surface and bottom measurements were from 0 and

6 meters, respectively. Parameters include pH, dissolved oxygen and total alkalinity. Color was measured by colored discs, reportedly, calibrated to the Pt-Co Standard, but values were so different from any other for these lakes that they were not used.

Phytoplankton counts were also omitted as they seemed to be 2 to 3 orders of magnitude too low. Plankton was collected by using a 30-40 um mesh net and by collecting ten gallon water samples which were preserved (5% formalin) and settled for 20 days. Low counts may have been a result of poor preservation or undersampling due to the use of nets.

The drainage basin area given for Dos Bocas included the drainages for Garzas and Caonillas, so this was not used.

2. Candelas, G. and G. C. Candelas. 1964. Plankton studies on Puerto Rico's fresh-water lakes: Physical and chemical nature. Carib. J. Sci. 4(4):451-458.

This paper was based on the dissertation and, therefore, is cited here jointly.

3. Martínez, R. R. 1979. Estudio comparativo de la limnologia de los embalses mayores de Puerto Rico. Master's Thesis, Dept. Biol. University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras.

Lakes sampled were Toa Vaca, Guajataca, Dos Bocas, Caonillas, Carite, La Plata, Patillas, Matrullas, Cidra, Luchetti, and Carraizo (Loiza). It was assumed that all measurements were taken once on each lake (at the dam sites) at various times of the year.

Concentrations evidently reported as PO_4 , NO_3-N , NO_2-N and NH_4-N were converted to PO_4-P , NO_3-N , NO_2-N and NH_4-N by multiplying the quoted values by .319, .226, .304 and .875, respectively. The resultant values appeared consistent with the values from other studies. NO_3-N and NO_2-N reported separately

were summed for this report. Lake Caonillas and Matrullas lacked NO_2 data and the NO_3 data alone were used in the summary as equivalent to NO_3 + NO_2 -N.

Akalinities were found to be twice the real value as a consequence of a calculation error (as determined in the methods section). The given values were halved and used.

Profile averages given were disregarded in favor of means computed from surface and bottom values in keeping with the fact that more systems could be compared on that basis in this summary.

Net productivity values given as "mg C/m²/4 hr" on each lake summary sheet were assumed to be per m³ on the basis of integral values tabled elsewhere in the report. Values were converted to hourly equivalents by simple division. The value closest to Secchi depth (as determined from other studies) was used or in most cases an average of the 0 and 2 meter depths. Integrals calculated for this study were not used because no other studies had them.

4. Gomez-Gomez, F. and A. Torres-Gonzalez. 1978. Preliminary trophic state classification of seven reservoirs in Puerto Rico (and extrapolation to other island lakes). U.S.G.S. (administrative document.)

The seven lakes actually studied were Carite, Dos Bocas, Garzas, Guajataca, Guayo, Luchetti, and Patillas. Most of the data used were from these lakes only. Each lake was sampled at from 1 to 3 stations (once per station) in a period from November 1977 through January 1978. Nitrate and phosphorus data from other lakes were used which were from documents unavailable to us at the time or from written communication (Carvajal data for

Cidra and La Plata). In the case of lakes Caonillas, Cidra, Guayabal, Jordan, La Plata, Las Curias, Loco, Toa Vaca, and Vivi, only NO_3 -N data were available and these were reported as equivalent to NO_3 + NO_2 -N. Data from this study reported NO_3 -N and NO_2 -N separately, so these were summed for this report. This reference also supplies organic nitrogen so this was summed with NO_3 -N, NO_2 -N, and NH_3 -N to calculate total nitrogen for the summary.

Net productivity was converted from g $O_{2/m}^3/hr$ to mg $C/m^3/hr$ by multiplying by a factor of .375 (assuming a photosynthetic ratio of 1).

The use of a single value for net productivity for comparison with an average gross productivity for Lake Garzas resulted in the anomaly that the net productivity reported was greater than the gross.

Alkalinity was computed from bicarbonate (HCO_3) as: Alkalinity ($CaCO_3$) = $HCO_3/1.23$.

For lakes Guayo and Patillas total averages (based on surface and bottom samples) from page 39 were used for conductivity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen as the data for individual stations were given on crude graphs for these two lakes.

Most reservoir volume estimates used in Table 1 came from this report which had new volume estimates based on sedimentation studies.

5. Brown, R. A., W. R. Jobin, A. Laracuente, R. Mercado, and V. Quiñones. 1979. Preliminary results from a survey of water quality in some Puerto Rican lakes. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico. U.S. Department of Energy, CEER-15, 1979.

The lakes sampled were Caonillas, Carite, Carraizo, Cidra, Dos Bocas, Garzas, Guajatacas, Guayo, Matrullas, Patillas, Prieto, and Toro. This study was carried out as a part of the Schistosoma study program. There were a number of sample sites on most lakes and these were looked at seasonally for six lakes, while others were sampled once a year for three years. All values were from surface samples taken at 0.5 m depth.

Phytoplankton values appeared anomalously low and were excluded. Because they were based on bottle measurements from 24 hour periods and definitely not comparable to other values, productivity measurements were not used.

6. Environmental Quality Board. 1982. Trophic classification and priority ranking for the restoration of lakes in Puerto Rico. Water Quality Flanning Bureau.

Lakes studied were Cidra, Guayabal, Toa Vaca, Caonillas, Guineo, Las Curias, Carraizo, Toro, Loco, Matrullas, and Pellejas. The lakes were visited only once and at different times of the year. Most lakes had 3 stations; a few had 1. Data from reference 4 (Gomez and Torres, 1978) were included in the study, but excluded from consideration since they had already been used. Water Quality data were taken from Table IV, pp. 123-124. All samples in this study were taken at Secchi depth but, for our purposes, recorded as "surface".

Net and gross productivity were reported as g $02/m^3/hr$ and were converted to mg $C/m^3/hr$ by multiplying by a factor of .375 (assuming a photosynthetic ratio of 1).

7. Rivera-Gonzalez, J. E. 1976. Relationship between the population dynamics and environmental water quality of four fisheries in Puerto Rico: Guajataca, Loiza, Patillas, and Toa Vaca. Department of Natural Resources, Sport Fisheries Research and Surveys.

One to 3 stations per lake were isited monthly for Loiza (Carraizo) and quarterly for the other lakes, except for Toa Vaca at which sampling was discontinued. All samples were taken at 1 m depths and were recorded as "surface" for our summary.

Most of the data were from Appendix A: PO₄ (assumed to be SRP), TP, pH, alkalinity, specific condectance, NO₃-N, NO₂-N, chlorophyll A. These data were all in raw form so surface averages were computed. A few data points were judged to be impossible and, therefore, excluded from consideration: (total phosphorus for 3/4/75, stations 1 and 2 hoiza; soluble reactive phosphorus for 3/4/75, station 2 Loiza; and specific conductance for 7/11/75, station 2 Guajataca, and 8/14/75 for station 2 Patillas). In all of these cases there were many other data points for comparison. NO₃-N and NO₂-N separately reported were summed for this report.

Oxygen and temperature data were trom profile graphs only which were too difficult to read accurately and, therefore, not used.

Data for volume, surface area, drainage and rainfall were taken from the text for use in the summary.

8. Quiñones-Marquez, F. 1980. Limnology of Lago Loiza, Puerto Rico. U.S. Geological Survey. Water Resources Investigations 79-97.

Most of the data was obtained from three take stations (5, 6, and 7). Apparently, profiles were taken for dissolved oxygen, temperature, and specific conductance. Although it was not

stated whether the other averages cited were profile or surface-bottom averages, they were recorded as equivalent to surface-bottom means for this summary. Monthly samples were taken from September 1973 to October 1974. Average values for total phosphorus, total nitrogen, NO₃-N and NH₄-N were extracted from the text of the report (pp. 73-76). Many data shown on graphs were too difficult to read accurately and, therefore, were not used. A data summary, (Quinones-Marquez, 1976. Chemical, physical, biochemical and bacteriological determinations in Lago Loiza, P.R. and in its main tributaries. Sept. '73 - Dec. '74. U.S.G.S. Open File Report 6-7.) was not available to us at this time.

Dissolved oxygen data were read off graphs for station 7 (p.68) and were used to make surface-bottom averages for the data summary.

Productivity data were given from 2 sampling periods at 0.5 and 2 m depths. Data for 0.5 m depths were used as this was judged closest to Secchi depths. Data from the two periods were averaged and converted from q $O_2/m^3/day$ to mq $C/m^3/hr$ (again assuming a photosynthetic ratio of 1). To obtain net productivity, values for night respiration were doubled and subtracted from gross productivity values (this resulted in a negative overall net productivity value). All productivity values used were from p. 98.

9. Jobin, W. R., F. F. Ferguson, and R. Brown. 1976. Ecological review of hydroelectric reservoirs in Puerto Rico. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico. CEER-1, 1976.

Lakes sampled were Adjuntas, Caonillas, Carite, Carraizo, Cartagena, Cidra, Coamo, Comerio #1 and #2. Dos Bocas, Garzas, Guajataca, Guayabal, Guayo, Guineo, Jordan, La Plata, Las Curias, Loco, Luchetti, Matrullas, Patillas, Pellejas, Prieto, Toa Vaca, Toro, Tortuguero, Vivi, and Yahuecas (29 lakes 2 of which, Cartagena and Tortuguero, are not considered in our summary). Samples were for a number of stations on each lake and were often taken near the mouths of inlets. Very small lakes were only sampled at one station. All were surface samples and were usually collected in one or two visits (some were sampled through 1 or 2 consecutive months, each station visited once).

Values for pH were only given in the appendix. Values for color, turbidity, total phosphorus, and NO_3 + NO_2 -N were taken from Table 6.

10. García-Sais, J. R. and L. J. Tilly. 1983. An environmental evaluation of La Plata Lake, Toa Alta. Center for Energy and Environmental Research. University of Puerto Rico, CEER.

Monthly sampling was conducted at a number of stations over a one year period. Profile averages were given for most parameters so surface and bottom values were extracted to make surface-bottom averages comparable to the other studies. Parameters measured included temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductivity, alkalinity, NH3-N, NO3 + NO2-N, TKN, TN, SRP, TP, Phytoplankton (cells/ml), and chlorophyll-A. Secchi values and productivity values were also extracted. Productivity values for

Secchi depth were used. Total nitrogen was calculated by adding surface and surface-bottom averages for NO_3 + NO_2 -N and TKN.

11. Tilly, L. J. Unpublished. The following computations were made using rainfall-runoff coefficients, available lake volume information and published rainfall data to augment the lake inflow and turnover data available from other sources.

The complete table for these data is reproduced here.

	Area Drain Basin 106 _m 2	Rain m/yr	Basin Total 10 ⁷ m ³	Runoīf Coefficient	Lake Input	Volume 106 _m 3	Flushing Rate Times/yr
						—— ········· .	
Cidra	22.2	1.9314	4.3	0.49	2.1	.65	31.3
Patillas	65.3	1.6878	11.0	0.57	6.3	14.9	4.2
Matrullas	11.4	2.2095	2.5	0.5	1.26	4.4	2.9
Guajataca	63.7	1.5911	10.1	0.5	5.07	40.2	1.3
Toa Vaca	58	2.21221	12.8	0.5	6.4	69.4	.9
							.

12. Nevarez, R. and J. Villamil. 1981. Productividad y contenido nutricional del jacinto de agua, <u>Eichornia crassipes</u> Mart (Solms), en relacion a algunos aspectos limnologicos del Lago Carraizo, Puerto Rico. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, CEER-T-096.

Data used from this study came from one lake station on Lake Carraizo located approximately 1 km. from the dam. Samples were taken twice monthly for a period of 5 months (June-October, 1980) at three depths (surface, mid, and bottom). In situ measurements were made at 1 meter intervals for pH, D.O., temperature, specific conductivity and light. Secchi measurements were taken as well.

Laboratory analysis included TKN, TP, COD, BOD, Mn, Cu, Cd, Pb, Ca, and Hg. Data for total phosphorus (three times the concentrations found by Quiñones-Marquez) were judged to be in error and excluded. Alkalinities given from only one sampling were double other available values for Carraizo and were, therefore, excluded.

To make them comparable to other studies, values used were from surface and bottom only.

13. Negron, E. 1983. A study of eutrophication and aquatic plants growths in selected lakes and rivers of Puerto Rico. Project No. A-0.71-PR. Final Technical Report, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.

The lakes sampled in this study were Guajataca, Yauco (Luchetti), Toa Vaca, Cidra, and Loiza (Carraizo). Sampling for each lake was done twice at two stations each having three depths: surface, mid and bottom. Time between sampling was 2 months. Water was collected with an Alpha (Model 1120-C40) from Wildco.

Water samples were analyzed for pH, color, turbidity and temperature in the field. Dissolved oxygen, conductivity, dissolved ortho-phosphate, total phosphorus, TKN, NO₃-N, NO₂-N, NH₃-N and other parameters were analyzed in the laboratory. The NO₃-N and NO₂-N data were combined for this summary. Mid water column data were ignored and averages were computed based on the surface and bottom values for each lake.

Table 1. Methods Used in Puerto Rican Lakes*

	142	3		5	6
Jemperature	Haximum, minimu Thermometer	un YSL	Probe	No details	212 Тешр
Dissolved 02	Winkler	Winkler modified Carpenter 10	Probe	Winkler	422-A Iodometric
рΗ	Gramery Color charcs	La Hotte Model HA	Probe	No details	No details
Alkalimicy	H ₂ 50 Eltration	H,SO, titration	Electrowetric titration	n=	Alkalinity
Conductivity	-	La Motte Model DA	Probe	-	205
Turbidity	E .	HATCH 4500*A F1U	-	No details	Wheatstone-Bridge
Color	Pt-Co Standards (color discs)	-	=	No details	-
Transparency	41t Secchi	Light meter (not used)	Secchi	Secch1	Secchi
NO3	5	11,13,20,25	5,18	Phenol disolfenic	419-c Cadmium
*O ₂	·	28	also did organic-N	acid after oxidation of permanganate	reduction
⁽¹⁾ 3	-	No details	-	-	418-C phenate
KN .	F	-	Œ		421 Kjeldahl
·, PO _L	-	~	6.18	Molybdate Stannous Chloride after acid hydrolysia	425-F Ascorbic Acid
P	<u>-</u>	22		* ************************************	
unts Phy- plankton	Net and settled plaukton	9	6.18	Fixed and	No details
t Prod/		31,34		millipore filtered	
oss Prod.		30 to 7 - 20 to	Light dark bottle 4 hr 20	24 hr. incubation	1002-1 exygen method of Guarder and Gran
1-4	-	17	6,18	Extraction and absorbance before and after acid	No decalls
ple lection	Kemmerer Vater-Sampler	No details	No details	No details	Alpha Horizontal sampler at Secchi
quency, tions,	Caonillas: monthly for 1 yr. Others Once.	Once per lake Samples from near dam	Once per scatton 1-3 station/lake, Nov-Jan 1977	b examined acameonally. Others 1/yr. for 3 yrs.	depth Ea. lake visited once (diff. times of the yr.) 1-3 attions/luke.
	Samples kept finm light and hear, no methodological teference.	Water generally unfiltered for clemistry, analyzed within 24 hr. for NO ₂ , NO ₂ , NH ₃ , ALF, PO ₄	No photomynthetic or respiration quoted for NPP ref: 6, 18, 32, 33	*Unionable numbers not believable Ref: 3	References: 4 (most methods) 26 Samples analyzed in field on 108 San Just

Numbers in column headings refer to lake studies reviewed. Numbers in text refer to literature cited.

Table 1. (cont.)

Parameter	7	8	9	10	11	12
Temperature	YSI-54	Profiles	=	Hydrolab 4041 meter	YSI	No details
Dissolved O2	YS1-54	No details	-	Hydrolab	YSI	No details
рH	Beckman Digital pH	No details during NPP studies	No details	4041 Potentiometer	Orion specific	No details
Alkalinity	No derails	USGS lab	-	Tritrimetric pH 4.5	=	
Conductivit y	Bassett- Bergwan Later: La Motte multi-	Profiles No details	-	Hydroleb 4041 potentioneter	YSI	No derails
Turbidity	range conduct.					
Color	.	-	No details	-	-	No details
	-	3 .	No details	.=	-	No details
Transparency	-	Secchi	-	Secch1	Secchi	12
NO ₃	USGS "Standard methods"	USGS lab	No details	353.2 Cadmium reduction	Two	No details
*O ₂	Beckman Spectropho- toxeter	USC\$	No details	й		No details
œ3	- 2	-	-	Colorimetric automated	н	No details
XN	-	-	-	351.2	Hicro Kjeldahl	No details
TP, PU	EPA	nene lab	No detaile	365.1 Aucorbic Acid	Vanadium nolydate	No details
SRP -	"Hethods"	•	-	365.1	_	No details
Counts Phy- toplaphton	=	Caribbean Discrict Lab. 29	-	400 cell	-	
Net Prod/ Gross Prod.	-	Twice at .5 and 2 in, depth 29	-	Light dark with free water diurnal curves	-	-
Chl-a	USGS Standard methoda	(4) (2)	-	Fluorometer	-	_
anple ollection	I meter below surface	i m depth except profile data	All surface	6 bottle cast of 4 meter intervals to 20 m depth	2 liter Van Dorn	Hodel 1120 C 40 Alpha
tequency, (ations, tc.	Monthly for Carraixo, quarterly for other lakes, a (Toa Vaca disc)	#	Ea. lake station visited once. Stations near tri-butaries and lake center.	Monthly samples for one year. Several stations	Twice mothly for 5 months: June-Oct	Each lake sampled twice 2 stations/lake
ther	Samples cooled and taken to lab, no methodalogical ref. in literature cited, except 1:	29, 30	Lit. cited text mays "ALPHA 1973 Standard Hethods"	Host methods from 36:LPA-600/4-79-020 also reference:4	References: 4,11.14.36	Surface, mid, and bottom wampl Ref: 2,5,35,36

Table 2. Physical Features of Puerto Rican Lakes

	Mean Depth	Minioum Depth	Volume	Sor [*] vie Atea	aain ege Area	Assistal	inflow	Flushing Fate
lake	E.	j tr.	106 m3	e biomarca	10 [£] m ²	: vyr	10 ⁷ m ³ /yr	times/yt
/dyn/cas		ł ,	.574	-			-	- 1
Commiller.	.1.3	/1.6	60.4	283,3	130,5	195.07	30.4	2.1
Carite	10.0	22.0	9.7	137.5	.11.7	1/8.78	1.68	1.7
Cartaizo	6.1	17.7	16.4	340.5	4,3,3,2	145.207	16.4	14.7
Cidra	7.7	24.1	. 65	176,5		103.14	2.1	2.5
Colamo			.25	-			r =	-
Cormin 1		-	.74	_			5	
Comerio 2	ā		-				-	
Pos Eucas	* E., C	28.0	27.5	256.6	196	177, 96	33.8	11.0
Gairas	16.6	35.0	5.8	43.7	15.9	e e	2,42	7.5
Cuajutaca	17.0	30.0	39.8	404.8	13.7	1 14 56	5.05	1.1
Suay (Sa)	5.7	36.6	12.3	163.1	14.4	1 13.73	.5	3.8
Guayo	18.0	49.0	12.5	115.7	24.9			1_12
Guinea	10.5	391_1	2.3	21.9	4.1	-		2.0
Jordán	=			-	29			~
!a Plata	7.1	39.9	28.0	395	437.B	165.5	34.2	25.2
las Curias	6.2	11.9	1. :F,	22.3	2.9	*		
Ioco	2.8	21.9	2,41	28.0	21.0	-	-	3.8
Ducchetti	15.0	31.0	14.2	107.6	44.8			1.5
Matrullas	11.8	36.6	3.7	31.7	13.4	210.95	1.25	5.0
latillas	13.0	24.0	14.9	130.4	€5.2	148.04	5.5	1.1
lelle _s as	9,3	15.2	(), 554	2.0	22.0	*	L.	72.5
irreto		(94%)	. y . 17 .	ě	24.9			+,, i _ S
Toa Vaca	20.4	65.5	40.89	331.7	Sa	112	6,4	.07
JOLO		8	0.12	151	9.5		-	•
Vivi	-	ate:	6.34	190	(8)	-		- 0
Yahueras		æ	1.4%			-	¥	-
ا ج	17.t.	3.8	11,75	165.6	93.4	164.93	10,8	12.8
N	18	16	5	16.	20	11	11	114
32	1.34	3.62	3.17	J2.1	11.6	10.6	3.9	5.0

Table 3. Chemical Features of Puerto Rican Lakes

S. B. S.		Total	Cotal Phosphorus	SRP		NO3+NC Toy	1N.52-N	NH3-N	≠ ≈	KNT		Z }		Chlorophyll	Net P	Phyto
1.	Lake				30 7. 20		S X	νι×		s ix		S IX	33.53.53	× 19√8		103cells/ml
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Adjuntas	6.25	ı			.22		1	,	į	1	ı	ı	1	!	•
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Caonillas	,026	1	6	£0.	- 51	.0025	.038	.033	.55	11	1	1	30.4	55.93	i
1.3 1.4 <td>Carite</td> <td>, 0.</td> <td>.02</td> <td>0</td> <td>500.</td> <td>990.</td> <td>.181</td> <td>.016</td> <td>660.</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>. 45</td> <td>59.</td> <td>7.</td> <td>33,18</td> <td>4.7</td>	Carite	, 0.	.02	0	500.	990.	.181	.016	660.	,		. 45	59.	7.	33,18	4.7
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Carra120	72.	7.44	٠,١	74.	426	.6	860	.112	1,08	1,30	1,34	1,10	26.5	228,27	10.0
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Cudra	50.	۷,	Ξ.	50.	860	60.	067	.53	. 60	1.4	87	1,51		45,25	1
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Coamo	,	ı	E C	 !	ı	*	1	ı	i	1		1	ı	1	í
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Comerio 1	.27	1	ı		ı		ı	,	ï	1	ı		t	Į.	ì
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Conerio 2	50.	1	,	ī	,	•	ī	ī	ı	1	1	ŧ	1	!	ı
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Dus bocas	.034	.07	0	300°	371.	.134	810.	.066	,	ı	93,	95.	- 6.1	50.6	2.4
1.0 1.0	Garzas	.013	. 02	٠,	 ن	108	.17	0	.0.		ı	.17	.72	5.0	18,75	·
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Guajataca	A10.	40,	 ი ი	. <u>-</u>	01.	.072	100.	.134	. 59	.73	. 9	97.	6.2	22,47	0.63
3.0.6 </td <td>Guayabal</td> <td>590,</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>2 20</td> <td>.107</td> <td></td> <td>.02</td> <td></td> <td>1.14</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>J</td> <td>62</td> <td>341.21</td> <td>i</td>	Guayabal	590,	1		2 20	.107		.02		1.14	1	1	J	62	341.21	i
1,00	Cueyo	رن ن	.03	Φ	 	,323	 	.0.	50.	•	1	. 77.	\$6.	7.0	30.0	
4.15 2.2 <td>010.10</td> <td>က က</td> <td>F</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>40</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>i.</td> <td>44.</td> <td>i</td> <td>•</td> <td>t</td> <td>41.4</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>1</td>	010.10	က က	F		•	40	6		i.	44.	i	•	t	41.4	7.5	1
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Jordán	519.	1	1	1	810.	•	1	ŧ	Ĭ	i	1.	ľ	ı	ı	ì
Curtas 1.94 -	La Flata	.077	. 12	- 90.	.07	-067	.205	:013	170	4 8	7:	4c.	\$6.	11.7	49.45	14.3
1,17	Las Curias	ૼ		رن	,	D	1	·	i	:		1	1	9.	9	1
No. 1. 0.3 .0.3	2002	. 11	ч	 C)	.367	1	80.		5. 7.		1	t	7,6	45.0	,
1345 1.33	Lucchetti	£0.	. 60.	- 10.	510.	51.	.23	. 20	853.	. 34	 Of	٥	3.5		76.4	23.0
1.38 .31 .14 .005 .044 .15 .27 .015 .79 .754 .	Hatrullas :	£ .	ı	5.	600'	902,	.0025	.175	,073	. 75		ŧ		11.3	, , e	J
co	Pat, las	50.	· <u>'</u> .	, 500.	***0	- - -	.23	. 510	- 650	1	1	Ð.,	1,43	5.6	43,39	ç.;
Cot	Fellens	.5.	а	01.	,	405			1	.36		a:	ī	16	0.0.	î we
Cas	024116	e. (4 (1	,	Tr.		27.		1		i	ī	1	1	1	1	1
CAS	TOA VACA	. 50.	٠ ٠ ٠	510.	70.	.023	6.	100.	77	84.	.79	3.4	. BO	6.3	14,38	i .
x097 .11502406125315756139639896491 19.89 60.16 x 25 11 18 13 24 13 19630735096107 4.37 19.27	Porc	e.	91	 o	3	.723	 I	ပ	1	. 25	1	i	,	0.9	Ö	
	77.7	,625	14	1	,	. 33	1	1	1	i	ï	,	ı	1	ı	ı
. 097 .115 .024 .061 .253 .157 .356 .139 .639 .89 .64 .91 19.89 60.16 .267 .267 .139 .64 .91 19.89 60.16 .26 .11 11 11 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Yanuecas	, ,	(1	•	1.03	,	1	1	ı	(ī	1	ı	ì	,
26 11 18 13 24 13 19 13 14 6 11 11 19 19 19 19 19 19 19. 022 .038 .006 .035 .048 .057 .014 .038 .077 .36 .096 .037 4.37 19.27	*	760.	. 115	.024	.061	.253	. 551.	955°,	139	- 619	68	.64	.6	19.89	60,16	16.75
.022 .038 .006 .035 .048 .057 .014 .038 .077 .36 .096 .107 4.37 19.27	z	26	=	18	£.	24	13	ž	 	14	φ	; -	Ξ	61	61	Œ
	35	.022	9:0.	900.	.035	812.	.057	, O14	6.03	1.077	.36	960.	701.	4.37	19.27	7,85

Table 4. Limnological Features of Puerto Rican Lakes

S.B S.B <th>Todeof</th> <th>Temperature .C</th> <th>Dissolved</th> <th>ved Oxygen</th> <th>Condu</th> <th>Conductivity</th> <th>a.</th> <th>Hd</th> <th>Alkalinity</th> <th>nity</th> <th></th> <th>Turb</th> <th>Turbidity</th> <th>Color</th>	Todeof	Temperature .C	Dissolved	ved Oxygen	Condu	Conductivity	a .	Hd	Alkalinity	nity		Turb	Turbidity	Color
8.5 5.8 134 195 7.7 - - - - 1.11 -		ES.			, MIX	8-8 X	N+X			S S	Secchi F			Ē
B.5 5.8 1.34 195 1.5 7.2 71.5 13.5 1.25 10.1 7.13 7.0 6.1.5 60.6 7.2 7.1 70.1 70.5 70.5 1.55 6.4 7.0 4.6 247 1.0 7.2 7.1 70.1 52.5 0.95 8.9 7.0 4.6 1.30 1.0 7.1 70.1 20.2 70.3 8.9 8.5 4.9 1.3 1.0 7.2 7.0 9.5 1.0 9.5 9.6 5.1 1.0 7.4 1.0 7.4 1.0 9.5 1.1 1.0.5 9.6 4.6 2.0 1.2 7.4 1.4 1.4 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 9.6 4.0 1.0 1.2 1.2 1.4 1.4 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 <td< th=""><th></th><th>•</th><th>ı</th><th>•</th><th>1</th><th>*</th><th>F-</th><th>i</th><th></th><th>ì</th><th>, </th><th><u>-</u></th><th></th><th>6.7</th></td<>		•	ı	•	1	*	F-	i		ì	, 	<u>-</u>		6.7
8.7.2 7.0 63.5 68.6 7.2 7.1 30.5 33.6 1.55 6.4 7.3 4.6 247 247 7.4 7.2 86.2 70.1 0.69 20.8 7.0 3.94 156 10 7.3 7.1 70.3 0.69 20.8 8.5 3.94 156 10 7.3 7.6 0.0 0.95 5.9 9.6 6.1 1.2 1.4 7.4 6.9 0.0 0.95 5.9 9.6 6.1 1.2 1.4 1		27.4	89	S.8	184	195	7.5	7.2	75.5	82.5	1.22	10,3	9.0	10.6
7.24 4.56 24.7 24.7 7.4 7.2 66.2 76.3 76.9 20.6 7.04 3.94 156 100 7.3 7.1 70.3 52.5 0.95 5.9 7.04 136 100 7.3 7.1 70.3 52.5 0.95 5.9 9.6 6.1 1 7.4 7.4 6.9 66.0 1.14 71.4 9.6 6.2 135 124 7.4 6.9 66.1 66.7 2.06 1.14 71.4 9.6 4.6 135 124 7.4 6.9 66.1 16.7 1.0 10.5 9.7 10.4 10.4 1.0		23.0	8.2	0,7	63.5	68.6	7.2	;;;	30.5	33.6	1.55	6.4	5	6.6
25.6 7.04 1.94 156 17.9 7.1 70.1 52.9 6.95 5.9 2.5 7.04 1.94 1156 110 7.1 7.2 <td< td=""><td></td><td>35.6</td><td>7,3</td><td>4.6</td><td>247</td><td>247</td><td>4.</td><td>7.2</td><td>86.2</td><td>78.3</td><td>0.69</td><td>20.8</td><td>25.8</td><td>22.0</td></td<>		35.6	7,3	4.6	247	247	4.	7.2	86.2	78.3	0.69	20.8	25.8	22.0
25.8 9.6 5.1 2<		25.6	7.04	3.94	156	130	ĵ.	ş. [·	70.3	52.5	0.95	5.9	1 36.1	13.9
25.8 9.5 6.1 7.0 <td></td> <td>r</td> <td> !</td> <td>•</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>•</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>ì</td>		r	 !	•	1			,	•	1	1	1	1	ì
2.5.8 9.6 6.5 7.6 7.6 6.9 6.0 1.14 7.4 22.2 4.9 125 7.6 6.9 66.7 6.0 1.14 7.4 22.2 4.9 125 124 7.4 6.9 66.7 6.0 1.6 1.6 25.6 9.6 10.4 195 - 7.6 6.9 145.1 2.06 1.6 25.6 9.6 10.4 195 - 7.6 6.9 10.2 1.7 1.6		1			C.	î	ı	i	,	ŗ	•		ı	10.0
25.8 9.6 6.5 1.36 7.5 7.6 9.5 65.1 65.2 1.14 7.4 23.2 6.5 4.9 125 124 7.4 6.9 65.1 65.7 2.06 1.14 7.4 27.0 7.9 125 125 213 7.9 1.4 146.1 161.1 2.40 1.6 25.6 9.0 10.4 185 - 7.6 0.0 145.5 177 2.60 1.6		•	,	•	ī	,	ı	ı	ı	,	•	10.5	1	10.0
23.2 6.5 4.9 7.4 6.9 65.1 66.7 2.06 1.6 27.0 7.9 4.6 9.9 6.9 65.1 66.7 2.06 1.6 25.6 9.6 4.6 236 213 7.9 7.9 146.1 161.1 2.40 8.5 25.6 9.6 10.4 185 - 7.6 10.0 46.5 177 2.40 8.2 25.6 3.4 10.4 185 - 7.6 10.0 46.5 177 2.40 8.2 26.2 5.7 1.0 7.9 - 6.9 10.0 10.0 11.6 <td></td> <td>25.8</td> <td>3.6</td> <td></td> <td>138</td> <td>213</td> <td>ιο (~</td> <td>7.0</td> <td>3,65</td> <td>36,0</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>7,</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>13.35</td>		25.8	3.6		138	213	ιο (~	7.0	3,65	36,0	1.1	7,	7.5	13.35
23.6 9.9 4.6 23.6 213 7.9 7.4 146.1 161.1 2.40 8.5 23.6 9.9 10.4 185 - 7.6 8.0 145.5 177 0.63 5.2 23.6 7.1 2.2 0.6 - 7.2 0.64.4 19.9 2.79 2.7 26.2 7.1 2.2 0.6 - 7.2 0.64.4 10.9 2.79 2.7 26.2 5.9 7.1 2.3 6.9 - 7.2 10.6 8.6 2.7 11.6 8.6 2.7 11.6 8.6 2.7 11.6 8.6 11.2 11.2 11.6 8.6 11.2 11.6 8.6 11.6 8.6 11.2 11.6 8.6 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2	1000	22.2	6.5	6.	125	124	7.4	6,9	6 II.	66.7	2.08	1.6	,	7.9
25.6 9.6 10.4 185 - 7.6 6.0 145.5 117 0.63 5.2 21.6 1.7 205 202 202 1.1 7.2 0.64 19.9 2.79 2.77 26.2 1.7 2.2 1.1 7.2 0.64 19.9 2.79 2.77 26.2 5.9 1.1 2.4 2.4 2.9 1.0 1.6 8.6 26.2 5.9 1.2 6.9 1.0 9.0 1.6 8.6 27.1 2.0 1.2 6.9 1.0 9.0 1.6 8.6 27.1 2.0 2.0 1.2 6.9 1.0 9.0 1.5 8.3 26.4 9.0 1.2 2.6 8.2 7.7 92.1 8.1 1.2 26.4 9.0 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2		27.0	5.7	4.6	236	213	6,	7.4	146.1	161.1	2,40	2.	7,6	6.63
25.5 3.7 3.2 3.1 7.2 106.4 99.9 2.79 2.77 26.2 5.4 80 7.2 7.2 6.9 7.2 7.2 1.6		25.6	a. 6	10,4	185		7.6	0.8	:45.5	7.51	0.63	5.2	ı	11.7
26.2 5.9 - <td></td> <td>1 23.5</td> <td></td> <td>g)</td> <td>205</td> <td>202</td> <td></td> <td>7.2</td> <td>.08.4</td> <td>99.8</td> <td>2.78</td> <td></td> <td>t .</td> <td>10.6</td>		1 23.5		g)	205	202		7.2	.08.4	99.8	2.78		t .	10.6
26.2 5.9 7.1 6.9 7.2 6.9 103 95.0 1.6 9.6 7.2 5.2 - 234 219 7.2 6.9 103 95.0 1.6 9.6 7.3 5.2 - 206 - 6.7 - 7.2 - 6.8 27.3 7.6 - 2.6 - 6.7 - 1.2 6.8 27.3 7.6 9.2 - 6.6 - 1.2 6.1 1.3 6.1 26.4 8.8 5.2 90 120 7.4 52.5 49.6 1.3 6.1 26.4 8.3 5.7 132 126 7.3 43.3 6.9 1.3 26.4 8.3 5.7 92.1 2.7 43.3 6.9 1.3 26.4 9.0 1.2 7.3 7.4 7.2 43.3 7.2 6.1 26.8 7.3 1.2			7.5	(4	90		 		36	,	1	9.1	1	5.0
26.2 5.9 3.1 234 219 7.2 6.9 103 99.0 1.6 8.6 - 5.2 - 6.7 - 6.7 - 72 - 6.8 - 7.2 - 6.7 - 6.7 - 6.8 27.3 7.6 - 6.6 - 127 - 6.8 27.3 7.6 6.2 7.7 92.1 99.6 1,37 6.1 26.4 8.8 5.2 90 120 7.4 6.9 43.5 6.1 26.4 8.1 5.7 132 126 7.4 52.5 49.6 1,37 6.1 26.4 9.0 120 120 7.4 52.5 49.6 1,27 6.9 1.2 6.1 26.4 9.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 26.8 7.3 1.0 1.2		•	L	:	,	•	8.4	,	•	,		11.8	1	6.0
27.3 7.2 - 6.6 - 7.2 - 6.6 27.3 7.6 - 6.6 - 127 - 1.3 5.3 27.3 7.6 8.2 7.7 92.1 96.6 1.3 6.1 26.4 8.3 226 226 8.2 7.7 92.1 96.6 1.3 6.3 26.4 8.3 5.7 132 126 7.9 7.4 8.2 43.0 6.9 9.0 1.2		28.2	6.5	1.1	234	519	7.2	6.9	103	90.06	.6	9.6	19	0.6
27.3 7.2 - 127 - 1.15 5.3 27.3 1.5.6 1.5.7 92.1 94.6 1.37 6.1 26.4 8.8 5.2 226 226 6.2 7.7 92.1 96.6 1.37 6.1 26.4 8.8 5.2 90 120 7.4 5.2 43.3 6.9 3.3 26.4 8.3 5.7 132 126 7.9 7.4 52.5 49.8 1.6 1.2 26.4 9.0 - 29.0 - 7.2 - 1.0 0.6 1.2 26.8 7.3 1.9 2.46 7.9 7.4 1.32.3 1.9 1.9 1.9 26.8 7.3 7.2 - - - - - - 0.5 1.9 1.4 1.2 1.2 1.4 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.1		1	5.2	3 I	208	•	6.3	,	. 2.	1	ı	6,8	1	0.6
27.1 °C.6 7.3 226 226 8.2 7.7 92.1 94.6 1.37 6.1 26.4 8.8 5.2 90 120 7.4 5.9 43.5 43.3 6.93 3.3 26.4 8.8 5.7 132 126 7.9 7.4 52.5 49.8 1.6 13.2 26.4 8.3 132 126 7.9 7.4 52.5 49.8 1.6 13.3 26.8 7.3 132 126 7.9 7.4 132.3 130 2.30 1.9 26.8 7.3 3.6 7.2 7.4 132.3 130 2.30 1.9 26.8 7.3 1.3 7.2 7.4 132.3 1.0 1.9 1 1.3 2.1 1.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 1.9 1 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.9 1 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>C*</td><td></td><td>2:0</td><td></td><td>9.3</td><td>1</td><td>127</td><td></td><td>7.1</td><td>5,3</td><td>i</td><td>5.3</td></t<>	-	•	C*		2:0		9.3	1	127		7.1	5,3	i	5.3
24.6 6.8 5.2 90 120 7.4 6.9 43.5 43.5 6.9 13.2 126 7.4 6.9 43.5 43.6 13.2 126 7.9 7.4 52.5 49.8 1.4 12.2 26.4 9.0 - 290 - 7.1 - 10.6 15.3 26.8 7.3 3.6 2.8 7.6 7.8 7.4 132.3 13.0 2.30 13.9 26.8 7.3 3.6 2.8 7.8 7.8 - - - 0.5 13.9 26.8 7.3 7.2 - 7.2 - - 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.2 - - 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9		27.3	<u>ت</u> د .	ος ΕΥ	326	208	8.2	7.7	92.1	98.6	(5,1	6.1	47.3	7.4
26.4 8.3 5.7 132 126 7.9 7.4 52.5 49.8 1.6 12.2 - 9.0 - 290 - 7.1 - 100 - 0.6 15.3 26.8 7.3 7.3 7.4 122.3 130 2.30 13.9 26.8 7.3 7.3 7.4 122.3 130 2.30 13.9 - 6.8 - 210 - 7.2 - 1.0 1.9 - 6.8 - 210 - 7.2 - 1.0 1.9 - 6.8 - 210 - 7.2 - 1.0 1.9 - - - - 7.2 - - 1.9 1.9 - - - - - - - - 1.9 - - - - - - - - 1.9<		34.6	8°, 8	5.2	06	120	7.4	5.9	43.0	43.3	06.9	3.3	2.7	11.25
26.8 7.3 7.1 7.2 <td></td> <td>26.4</td> <td>. E. 3</td> <td>5.1</td> <td>132</td> <td>126</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>7.4</td> <td>52.5</td> <td>43.8</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>12.2</td> <td>18.5</td> <td>11.6</td>		26.4	. E. 3	5.1	132	126	6.7	7.4	52.5	43.8	2.1	12.2	18.5	11.6
26.8 7.3 3.6 7.2 - - 0.59 12.9 26.8 7.3 3.6 7.8 7.4 132.3 130 2.30 1.9 - 6.8 - 210 - 7.2 - 63.2 - 1.0 10.6 - 6.8 - 210 - - 6.7 - - 1.0 10.6 - - - - - - - 1.2 1.9 1.9 - - - - - - - - 1.0 1.9 - - - - - - - - 1.9 1.9 - - - - - - - - 1.9 1.9 1.9 - - - - - - - - - 1.9 - - - - - - - - - - 1.9 - - - - - - - - - - 1.9 - - - - - - -			D'6	ı	290	1	7,1	•	1001		6.0	15.3		5.5
26.8 7.3 3.6 7.8 7.4 132.3 130 2.30 1.9 - 6.8 - 210 - 7.2 - 6.7 - 1.0 10.6 - - - - - - - - 1.9 - - - - - - - 1.9 25.51 7.91 5.50 185 177.7 7.36 7.29 87.29 30.62 1.36 9.89 14 20 14 19 13 24 14 19 14 16 26 -43 .30 .32 14,18 15.7 .08 .09 7.91 12.10 .15 277			9'9	•	1	;	7.2	ı	,	1	0.59	13.9	,	12.9
25.51 7.91 5.50 185 177.7 7.36 7.29 87.29 90.82 1.36 9.89 14 20 14 19 15.7 0.08 0.09 7.91 12.10 .15 2.77		26.8	7.3).e	238	246	r. 9	7.4	132.3	130	2.30	1.9	0.8	5.3
25.51 7.91 5.50 185 177.7 7.36 7.29 87.29 90.82 1.36 9.89 14 20 14 19 13 24 14 19 12.10 .15 2.77		i oce	6.8		210		7.2	•	83.2	ı	1.0	10.6		2.5
25.51 7.91 5.50 185 17.7 7.36 7.29 87.29 87.29 1.36 9.89 14 20 14 19 13 24 14 19 19 19 26 43 .30 .52 14.18 15.7 .08 .09 7.91 12.10 .15 2.77		i.	1	1	1	Ē	6.7	•	1	ı	ı	1.9	•	6.3
25.51 7.91 5.50 185 7.771 7.36 7.29 87.29 80.62 1.36 9.89 14 20 14 20 14 19 13 24 14 19 12.10 12.10 12.10 12.10 12.10 12.10 1.15 2.77			1	ı	į.	!	5.6	1	,	,	ı	75.0		10.5
26 14 20 14 19 13 24 14 19 14 18 26 15. 19 17.93 12.10 1.15 2.77 4		25.51	1.9.7	5.50	185	7.7.1	7,36	7,29	87.29	40.82	1,36	6.89	21.89	9.15
. 30 . 31 . 12. 10 . 39 39 . 31. 12. 10 . 23 23 34. 12. 10 . 31. 22. 22. 22. 22. 23. 23. 23. 23. 23. 23	0	<u>.</u>	20	. -	6	Ξ	24	1.	6-	F	8)	36	Ξ	92
	0	.43	.30	E.	14.18	15.7	80,	60.	7.93	12.10	. 15	2.77	4.21	0.74

REFERENCES CITED

- American Public Health Association. 1969. Standard methods for the evaluation of water and wastewater, llth ed. APHA. 626 pp.
- American Public Health Association, et al 1971. Standard methods for the examination of waters and wastewaters, 13 ed. New York, New York. 874 pp.
- 3. American Public Health Association, 1975. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 13th ed. American Public Health Associates, Water Pollution Control Federation, 1015 18th St. W, Washington, D.C. 20036.
- 4. American Public Health Association. 1976. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastes, 14th ed. ALPHA, Washington, D.C. 1193 pp.
- 5. American Public Health Association, et al. 1981. Standard methods for the examination of waters and wastewaters, 15th ed. Washington, D.C. 1134 pp.
- 6. Brown, E. Skougstad, M.W., and Fishman, M.S. 1970.
 Methods for collection and analysis of water samples
 for dissolved minerals and gases. In: U.S. Geological
 Survey Techniques of Water Resources Investigations,
 Book 5, Ch. Al. 160 pp.
- 7. Brown, R.A., W.R. Jobin, A. Laracuente, R. Mercado, and V. Quinones. 1979. Preliminary results from a survey of water quality in some Puerto Rican lakes. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico. U.S. Department of Energy, CEER-15, 1979.
- 8. Candelas, G. R. 1956. Studies on the freshwater plankton of Puerto Rico. Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Minnesota.
- 9. Candelas, G. and G. C. Candelas. 1964. Plankton studies on Puerto Rico's fresh-water lakes: Physical and chemical nature. Carib. J. Sci. 4(4):451-458.
- Carpenter, J. 1964. Modification of the Winkler oxygen method. Limnol. Oceanog. 10:135.
- 11. Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt. 1961. Methods of analysis for solids, plants, and waters. University of California.
- 12. Ellis, M.M. 1935 and 1937. Measuring pollution in fresh water streams. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 65-240(1935), Journal A.W.W.A. 29:1421 (1937).

- 13. Environmental Quality Board. 1982. Trophic classification and priority ranking for the restoration of lakes in Puerto Rico. Water Quality Bureau.
- 14. García-Castro, J.M. 1980. Modificacion metodo mercurio.
 Datos sin publicar.
- 15. García-Sais, J.R and L.J. Tilly. 1983. An environmental evaluation of La Plata, Toa Alta. Center for Energy and Environment Research. University of Puerto Rico, CEER.
- 16. Gomez-Gomez, F. and A. Torres-Gonzalez. 1978. Preliminary trophic state classification of seven reservoirs in Puerto Rico (and extrapolation to other island lakes). U.S.G.S. (administrative document)
- 17. Grasshoff, W. 1964. The use of ammonium chlorides in the reduction method for determining reactive nitrate. Kiel. Meeresforsch, 20:5
- 18. Greeson, P.E. Ehlke, T.A., Irwin, G.A., Lium, B.W., and Slack, K.V. 1977. Methods for collection and analysis of aquatic biological and microbiological samples. In: U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques Water-Resources Investigation. Book 5, Ch. A4. 332 pp.
- 19. Jobin, W. R., F. F. Ferguson, and R. Brown. 1976. Ecological review of hydroelectric reservoirs in Puerto Rico. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico. CEER-1, 1976.
- 20. Martínez, R. R. 1979. Estudio comparativo de la limnologia de los embalses mayores de Puerto Rico. Master's Thesis, Dept. Biol. University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras.
- 21. Morris and Riley. 1963. Determination of reactive nitrate by the reduction method. Anal. Chim. Acta., 29:272.
- 22. Murphy, J. and P. Riley. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. Anal. Chem. Acta., 27:31-36.
- 23. Negron, E. 1983., A study of eutrophication and aquatic plants growths in selected lakes and rivers of Puerto Rico. Project No. A-071-P.R. Final Technical Report, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C.

- Nevarez, R. and J. Villamil. 1981. Productividad y contenido nutricional del jacinto de agua, <u>Eichornia crassipes</u> Mart (Solms), en relacion a algunos aspectos limnologicos del Lago Carraizo, Puerto Rico. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, CEER-T-096.
- 25. Quinones-Marquez, F. 1980. Limnology of Lago Loiza, Puerto Rico. U.S. Geological Survey. Water Resources Investigations 79-97.
- 26. Reckhow, K.H. 1979. Quantitative techniques for the assessment of lake quality. Michigan State University.
- 27. Rivera-Gonzalez, J. E. 1976. Relationship between the population dynamics and environmental water quality of four fisheries in Puerto Rico: Guajataca, Loiza, Patillas, and Toa Vaca. Department of Natural Resources, Sport Fisheries Research and Surveys.
- 28. Shinn, P. 1941. The application of Griess reactive nitrate in fresh waters. Ind. Eng. Chem., 13:33.
- 29. Slack, K.K., R.C. Averett, P.E. Greeson, and R.G. Lipscomb. 1973. Methods for collection and analysis of aquatic biological and microbiological samples. In: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations. Book 5, Ch.A4. 165 pp.
- 30. Smoot, F.G. and E.C. Novak. 1972. Measurement of discharge by the moving boat method. In: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations. Book 3, Ch. All, p. 22.
- 31. Strickland, J.D. and T.R. Parsons. 1968. A practical handbook of seawater analysis. Fisheries Research Board of Canada. 310 pp.
- 32. Strickland, J.D. and T.R. Parsons. 1972. A practical handbook of seawater analysis. Ottawa, Fisheries Research Board of Canada. 310 pp.
- 33. Stumm W. and J.J. Morgan, 1970. Aquatic chemistry. New York, Wiley Interscience. 583 pp.
- 34. U.S. Geological Survey. 1973. Primary productivity. In: Collection and analysis of biological and microbiological samples. U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

- 35. U.S. Geological Survey, 1979. Methods for determination of inorganic substances in water and pluvial sediments. In: Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey. Book 5, Ch. All. Washington, D.C. 626 pp.
- 36. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1979. Methods for chemical analysis of water and wastes. EPA 600/4-79-020.
- 37. Wood, A., S. Armstrong, and k. Richards. 1967. The use of a cadmium-copper column for the determination of reactive nitrate. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K., 47:25.